

131

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 7300 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 7.4.2017

Pardeep Kumar Petitioner (s)
Versus
State of Haryana and another Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Kamal Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- .- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioner had applied for the post of LDC and was declared successful in the written exam, vide result dated 4.2.2017 (Annexure-P-3). The petitioner did not appear for the scrutiny of the documents, which was held from 7.3.2017 to 10.3.2017. The interviews were to be held from 16.3.2017 to 18.3.2017. Now, the petitioner has come up with a plea before this Court that his documents should be scrutinized and he should be interviewed.

Heard.

There is nothing on file to show that the interviews are still going on. The date of scrutiny of documents and interview is already over. Therefore, even if the result is not declared, no direction can be given by this Court for interviewing the petitioner at this stage. The petitioner was himself negligent in not appearing for the scrutiny of the documents and allowed the date of interview to pass.

In view of the matter, the present writ petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

7.4.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No