

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

(111)

**CWP-7056-2017**

**Decided on: May 05, 2017.**

**Jaideep Kumar**

**.... Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State Information Commission, Haryana and another**

**..... Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI**

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Birla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

**M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)**

The main grievance of the petitioner is that despite the directions issued by the State Information Commission in second appeal, the petitioner having been permitted to inspect the requisite record, there has not been strict compliance of the order passed by the State Information Commission, Haryana.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and going through the annexures appended with the petition, it appears that the petitioner has inspected the record and on depositing sum of Rs.1606/- vide receipt dated 23.09.2016 has been supplied the copies.

The petitioner is still not satisfied with the information and the documents supplied to him.

It is always open to the petitioner to seek the implementation of the order passed by the State Information Commission, Haryana for fixing a specific date on which the petitioner could be permitted to inspect the record and seek the information which is left to be supplied. The petitioner may approach the State Information Commission with his grievance for seeking the remaining information within a period of 15 days.

Petitioner appears to be a disgruntled litigant who is not satisfied with the information already supplied to him.

No ground is made out for entering into the controversy and disputed questions of fact regarding the incident of inspecting the record pursuant to the order passed by the State Information Commission, Haryana.

The petition is dismissed.

(M.M.S. BEDI)  
JUDGE

May 05, 2017  
harsha

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable:        | Yes/No |