

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.7043 of 2017
Date of decision : 05.04.2017

Naresh Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

Present: Mr. Vikram Sheoran, Advocate for the petitioners.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that instead of separate representation, let this writ petition be treated as representation for the following relief sought in the present writ petition:-

“For issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing to the respondents to fix the pay of the petitioners correctly taking into the consideration the pay of his similarly situated employee. Similarly situated employees have been granted the benefit of rule 8 of revised pay rule but the petitioners have not been granted the benefit of rule 8.

For issuance of direction to release the arrears of salary of the petitioners within 18% interest per annum.”

He further submits that petitioners will be satisfied if the same is decided within time bound manner.

Resultantly, present writ petition stands disposed of with a

direction to respondent No.2 to treat this writ petition as representation and shall decide the same within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

05.04.2017

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No