

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 7008 of 2017 (O/M)

Date of decision : 8.5.2017

Parkash Chand and others

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Chandeeep Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

It is stated that the daughter of the mother of petitioners No. 2 and 3, namely, Dr. Rakesh Lata died on 15.8.2003. It also comes out that her case for family pension was thrice rejected i.e. on 21.12.2005, then rejected on 6.11.2008 and for the last time on 25.1.2012 on the ground that the husband of the mother of the deceased is having sufficient income and she does not fulfill the criteria. Now, the mother of the deceased daughter has also died. Now, petitioner No. 1 on behalf of his wife and petitioners No. 2 and 3 on behalf of their mother are claiming family pension.

After going through the file, I am of the view that the petitioners are required to establish that the husband of the deceased mother of the deceased employee (petitioner No. 1) is not having sufficient income. The evidence is required to be led. The petitioners are relegated to avail appropriate remedy before the civil court.

Disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

8.5.2017

sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No