

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

FAO-M No.21 of 2013

Date of decision: January 30, 2017

Parvesh

....Appellant

Versus

Rekha

....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M. JEYAPPAUL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH**

Present: Mr. M.S. Kathuria, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Harsh Aggarwal, Advocate
for the respondent.

M. JEYAPPAUL, J. (ORAL)

In spite of our direction to pay the entire arrears of maintenance, passed on 26.08.2016, appellant has not cared to pay the same. When the matter came up for hearing on 22.12.2016, we directed the appellant to pay at least ₹ 2 lacs out of ₹ 4 lacs towards the arrears of maintenance. In spite of such a last opportunity given to the appellant, counsel for the appellant seeks some more time to pay the arrears of maintenance.

In the above circumstances, we are disinclined to accede to the above request made by the counsel for the appellant.

Appellant has not chosen to comply with our direction to pay

the arrears of maintenance even after giving last opportunity, therefore, the appeal stands dismissed in default. However, liberty is given to the respondent to take legal recourse to collect the arrears of maintenance from the appellant.

(M. JEYAPPAUL)
JUDGE

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

January 30, 2017

ps-I

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No