

**110 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.7001 of 2017

Date of decision: July 20, 2017

Satinder Pal Singh Walia and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. V.K. Sandhir, Advocate
for the petitioners.

JASPAL SINGH, J.(ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought issuance of a writ especially in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release pension benefit i.e. revised gratuity to the petitioners as per the provisions of the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972 and arrears of gratuity along with 18% interest from the due date till the date of actual payment in terms of the law laid down by this Court in the matters of CWP No.6905 of 2006 titled as *Krishan Lal Aggarwal and others Vs. State of Punjab and others* in terms of CWP No.15423 of 2008, in *Municipal Council, Pathankot Vs. the Appellate Authority*.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners feel satisfied in case a direction is issued to the official respondent(s) to decide legal notice dated 02.01.2017 (Annexure P-8) within a stipulated period.

3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to the official respondent(s) to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in

their legal notice dated 02.01.2017 (Annexure P-8) and to decide the same in accordance with law particularly keeping in view the judgment passed in *CWP No.15423 of 2008* decided on 22.09.2008 captioned as *Municipal Council, Pathankot Vs. the Appellate Authority* as well as CWP No.7098 of 2016 decided on 21.04.2016 captioned as *Harbhajan Singh (Retd.) and others Vs. State of Punjab and others*, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

July 20, 2017
m. sharma

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No