

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 6978 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 3.4.2017

Dr. Jagpal Singh and others

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sameer Sachdeva, Advocate, for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

:-

:-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for directing respondents to re-fix and grant the revised pension/consequential benefits to the petitioners on the basis of 5th Pay Commission report in terms of letter of the State Government dated 15.12.2011 (Annexure-P-2).

Petitioners No. 1 to 4 were initially appointed as Lecturer with the Punjab Government in the Department of Higher Education in the years 1985, 1979, 1979 and 1977 respectively and retired as Associate Professor from respondents No. 3 to 5 respectively on 31.5.2010, 30.6.2010, 31.10.2009 and 31.8.2006 on attaining the age of superannuation i.e. post 1.1.2006 and prior to 1.12.2011. They seek the benefit of judgment, passed by this Court in a bunch of writ petitions, main case being CWP No. 2866 of 2014, titled as Karanvir Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and others, decided on 30.9.2016 (Annexure-P-4). They have already issued

CWP No. 6978 of 2017 (O/M)

legal notices dated 1.10.2016 (Annexures-P-5 and P-6 ii respectively).

The short prayer of the petitioners at this stage is that respondents may be directed to consider the legal notices dated 1.10.2016 (Annexures-P-5 and P-6 respectively) and pass a speaking order in accordance with law in a time bound manner.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to respondents, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 1 to consider and decide the legal notices dated 1.10.2016 (Annexures-P-5 and P-6 respectively). The needful be done within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

If SLP has been filed against the aforesaid order/judgment in Karanvir Singh's case (supra), the legal notices will be decided within two months from the date the SLP is decided.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

3.4.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No