

**107 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.6812 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : May 05, 2017

Kailash Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

Food Corporation of India and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Upender Prasher, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioner, who was working in Food Corporation of India retired as Assistant Grade-I on 30.04.2008. The petitioner claims that he was granted selection grade vide letter dated 09.09.1997 (Annexure P-1). He was also granted stagnation increment in the grade of A.G.-I, vide order dated 19.02.2002 (Annexure P-2) but the same was not released to the petitioner despite repeated requests. Even after, he made requests but the claim of the petitioner was not actually released, though these were sanctioned long back. The petitioner has also issued a legal notice dated 09.08.2016 (Annexure P-11).

Keeping in view the allegations, it is deemed proper that the department should take the decision whether they want to grant the benefits of selection grade and stagnation increment in terms of the letter dated 09.09.2007 (Annexure P-1) and order dated 19.02.2002 (Annexure P-2) respectively and take a decision also whether they want to release the arrears with interest or without interest.

In these circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent Nos.1 and 2 to decide the legal notice of the petitioner dated 09.08.2016 (Annexure P-11) within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order by passing a speaking order. If the petitioner is aggrieved of the speaking order, he can always approach this Court.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

May 05, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No