

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(110)

CWP-68-2017

Decided on: January 10, 2017.

Akhil Bhartiya Gaushala (Regd.)

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Birinder Singh Khehar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

This is a petition under Article 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a direction to the respondents for performance of the contractual obligations arising out of the letter dated 08.07.2016 by virtue of which 936 cattle were left under the care and custody of the petitioner subject to payment of Rs.13 lacs per month.

Without expression of any opinion regarding the maintainability of the petition at this stage, I am of the considered opinion that in view of notice Annexure P-6 having been sent by representative of the petitioner to respondent No.2, who appears to be the State authority being Government functionary, it is required that claim of the petitioner should be considered expeditiously.

Notice to Advocate General, Haryana.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Anil Kumar Yadav, Addl. A.G., Haryana, present in the Court, accepts notice.

Counsel for the petitioner has handed over two sets of paper book to the State counsel.

This petition is disposed of in limine as pre-mature at this stage, however, with a direction that the claim of the petitioner Annexure P-6 in notice dated 30.09.2016 will be considered by the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Rohtak within a period of 15 days after receipt the certified copy of this order.

It will be the duty of the petitioner to communicate the certified copy of the order to the respondents.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

January 10, 2017
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No