

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 6787 of 2017

Date of Decision : 06.04.2017

Sandeep Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: ***HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J. VAZIFDAR, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL***

Present: Ms. Gurvir Kaur Gill, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

 Mr. Alok Jain, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

S.J. VAZIFDAR, C.J.(Oral)

The petitioner claims a rebate of a licence fee for a period of one month as he had to close the vend on account of being asked to do so due to hostilities with the neighbouring country. That the petitioner had to close his vend for the reasons is admitted. The only question is whether the petitioner is entitled to a rebate of the licence fee on account thereon. There are various factors, which have to be taken into consideration including the fact that the application was made after sometime.

In the circumstances, the writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondents to consider the petitioner's application for rebate in accordance with law. Till the decision and in the event of it being adverse to the petitioner for a period of four weeks, after the service thereof upon the petitioner, the respondents shall not recover an amount equal to the licence fee for a period of one month i.e. approximately an amount of

₹17 lacs. It is clarified that the balance amount, if any, due from the petitioner may be recovered by the respondents.

(S.J. VAZIFDAR)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

06.04.2017
kanchan

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes
Whether reportable?	Yes/No