

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.6767-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 04.05.2017

Dharampal Mehta

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldeep Singh

Present: Mr.V.K. Sandhir, Advocate for the petitioner

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldeep Singh, J. (Oral)

Petitioner is pre 1.1.2006 retiree from Department of Revenue of the Punjab Government. He claim re-fixation of his pension in terms of judgment in LPA No.352 of 2014 State of Punjab and others vs. A.P.Sharma and others, decided on 31.8.2016 (Annexure P5).

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the respondents may be directed to decide legal notice dated 5.1.2017 (Annexure P6) of the petitioner in view of judgments in A.P.Sharma and others (*supra*).

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the respondents, the present writ petition is disposed of with directions to the respondents to decide legal notice dated 5.1.2017 (Annexure P6) of the petitioner in view of judgments in A.P.Sharma and others (*supra*) within two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Needless to say that if it is found that the petitioner is entitled to the

benefits of the aforesaid judgments, the same shall be released to his without unnecessary delay.

However, the Government can wait for the decision of the SLP, filed against A.P. Sharma's case (supra) and take a final decision after the decision of the SLP. If the SLP is dismissed, the directions contained in this order shall be complied with within two months of the dismissal of the SLP and if SLP is allowed, then subject to the decision of the SLP.

04.05.2017

gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned:

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No