

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.6762 of 2017

Date of Decision:-30.03.2017.

Shashi Prabha

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Sajjan Singh Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is stated to have been appointed as a Guest Teacher. She has questioned the validity of notice dated 17.2.2017 (Annexure P-3) and further notice dated 14.3.2017 (Annexure P-5). Against Annexure P-3, the petitioner is stated to have submitted explanation through proper channel. The same is pending consideration before the Director, Secondary Education Department, Haryana. Writ petition is pre-mature. The petitioner cannot question the validity of notice unless until it is without competency or contrary to any statutory provision. Therefore, this Court cannot interfere in respect of notice vide Annexure P-3 and P-5. If the petitioner has submitted her explanation, she has to pursue that. The concerned respondent to directed to consider the petitioner's explanation if it is available on the file within a period of three months.

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

March 30, 2017.

sandeep sethi

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.