

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 675 of 2017**  
**Date of Decision: 17.01.2017**

***MANJIT SINGH***

***... Petitioner***

***VS.***

***STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS***

***..Respondents***

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH.**

Present: Mr. S.S. Chauhan, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*

**KULDIP SINGH, J (ORAL)**

The petitioner has preferred this writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ of Certiorari for quashing the order dated 10.05.2016 (Annexure P-7) passed by respondent No. 3 rejecting the application dated 04.04.2013 (Annexure P-1) for change of revised option for the masters scale. It is further prayed to issue the writ of mandamus for directing the respondents to accept the revised option submitted by the petitioner after condoning the delay of 93 days in submission of the application.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that the master scale was released and the option for the new scale was to be given upto 31.12.2012. However, for certain reasons, the petitioner submitted the option on 04.04.2013 i.e. with a delay of 93 days. Now, the request of the petitioner is to direct the respondent to condone the said delay in submission of revised option.

It comes out that the petitioner has earlier approached this Court by way of CWP No. 13310 of 2011 in which direction was issued to consider the claim of the petitioner and dispose of the same. As a matter of

CWP No. 675 of 2017

consequence thereof, impugned order dated 10.05.2016 (Annexure P-7) has been passed, rejecting the claim of the petitioner.

I am of the view that Rules, as applicable, do not provide for condonation of delay in exercising the option, as sufficient time was given within which the option was to be exercised. Therefore, this Court does not find any ground for condoning the delay in exercising the option by the petitioner.

It being so, there is no illegality and infirmity in the impugned order dated 10.05.2016 (Annexure P-7), hence, the present writ petition is dismissed.

January 17, 2017  
Suresh Kumar

(KULDIP SINGH)  
JUDGE

|                                      |            |          |           |
|--------------------------------------|------------|----------|-----------|
| <b>Whether speaking / reasoned :</b> | ✓          |          |           |
|                                      | <b>Yes</b> | <b>/</b> | <b>No</b> |
| <b>Whether Reportable :</b>          |            |          | ✓         |
|                                      | <b>Yes</b> | <b>/</b> | <b>No</b> |