

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.6692 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 30.03.2017

SK Verma

... Petitioner

VS.

Union of India & Ors.

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA**

Present: Mr. Vinod S Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner

SURYA KANT, J. (Oral)

(1) The petitioner is working as an Assistant Registrar in the Central Excise and Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT). He came to be posted to Chandigarh vide order dated 06.11.2015. He has been prematurely transferred from Chandigarh to Kolkata vide order dated 10.02.2017 against which he made a representation dated 21.02.2017. The Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench, Chandigarh (in short, 'the Tribunal') directed the competent authority to decide that representation and to meanwhile maintain *status quo*. The said representation has been turned down vide order dated 10.03.2017 (P10) by the President of CESTAT for the reasons mentioned therein on which we do not want to comment as of now. As soon as the petitioner's representation was rejected, the relieving order dated 17.03.2017 was passed asking him to join at CESTAT Kolkata before 31.03.2017. The petitioner has again challenged those orders before the Tribunal but no *ad interim* stay has been granted to him. The aggrieved petitioner is before us.

(2) We have heard learned counsel for the petitioner. Since the Tribunal is yet to take a final view in the matter, we deem it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition with a request to the Tribunal to decide the

not expressed any views on merits, the petitioner shall be at liberty to raise all his contentions before the Tribunal.

(3) Disposed of accordingly.

(Surya Kant)
Judge

30.03.2017
vishal shonkar

(Sudip Ahluwalia)
Judge

1. Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes
2. Whether reportable?	No