

**HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-6686-2017

Date of Decision: August 09, 2017

Sarabdeep Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

The Gram Panchayat Village Arno and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

- | | | |
|----|---|---------|
| 1. | To be referred to the Reporters or not? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? | Yes/No. |
| 3. | Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? | Yes/No |

.....

Present: Mr.Ishan Kaushal, Advocate for
Mr.K.S.Khehar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Amarjit Kaur, Advocate for respondent No.1.
Ms.Ambika Luthra, Sr.DAG, Punjab.

.....

SURYA KANT, J.

In view of the fact that Financial Commissioner has already decided the stay application and this Court vide a separate order of even date passed in CWP No.7019 of 2017 (**Gram Panchayat Arno through its Sarpanch vs Joint Development Commissioner and others**) has directed the parties to maintain status quo re: change of mutation, nothing survives in this petition on merits, which is accordingly disposed of as infructuous.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

August 09, 2017

meenuss

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned ? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable ? | Yes/No |