

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.M. No.7524 of 2017 in/and
CWP No.6622 of 2017
Date of Decision: 22.05.2017

Rajbir Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & ors.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Bikram Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashwani Talwar, Advocate,
for the respondents.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

C.M. No.7524 of 2017

Notice of the application.

Mr. Ashwani Talwar, Advocate, appears and accepts notice on
behalf of the respondents.

Heard.

Application for early hearing is allowed. Main case is taken on
Board for final disposal.

Main case

Mr. Talwar points out at the outset a misdescription of
respondents 2 to 6 in the memo of parties which in the absence of allegations
of mala fides would be fatal to the action, if this petition is continued, for
obvious reasons which are self-speaking. The memo of parties reads thus:-

“ **Memo of Parties**

Rajbir Singh aged 50 years s/o Sh. Munshi Ram,
H.No. 2008, Sector-18, HUDA, Panipat-132103
(Haryana).

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. Union of India through its Secretary to Govt.
Haryana, Department Fertilizers, Ministry of
Chemical & Fertilizers, A Wing, Shashtri
Bhawan, Dr. Rajinder Parasad Road, New Delhi,
Delhi.
2. C & MD, Sh. Manoj Mishra, National Fertilizer
Limited, Corporate Office, A-11, Sector 24,
Noida, Gautam Budh Nagar, UP.
3. Sh. Mahabir Singh, Chief Manager (HR),
Corporate Office, National Fertilizer Limited,
Corporate Office, A-11, Sector 24, Noida,
Gautam Budh Nagar, UP.
4. Sh. S.K. Tyagi, Manager HR, National Fertilizer
Limited, Gohana Road, Panipat.
5. Sh. Adesh Bharti son of Sh. Satyam Bharti posted
as Manager Marketing Office of Central
Marketing Office, A-11, Sector 24, Noida,
Gautam Budh Nagar, UP.
6. Sh. B. Panda son of Sh. Madheer Panda c/o A-
11, Sector 24, Noida, Gautam Budh Nagar, UP.

....Respondents

Place: Chandigarh

Dated: 23.03.2017

(SANDEEP S. MAJITHIA) & (BIKRAM SINGH)
P-38/1997, P-1215/2011

(ANUPREET SINGH JAURA) & (SATINDER MALHI)
P-2615/2009 P-1015/1995

**ADVOCATES
COUNSELS FOR THE PETITIONER”**

Mr. Bikram Singh realizes the fundamental flaw and accordingly, the petition is dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to present a fresh petition on the same cause of action after overhauling the memo of parties for an effective preliminary hearing. In passing this order I have not examined the merits of the case and nor do I express any opinion thereon in view of the liberty granted to present the petition again after removing the error.

22.05.2017
monika

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>No</i>