

114

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.6583 of 2017

Date of Decision : 08.05.2017

Kuldeep Singh and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Rajesh K. Kataria Advocate
for the petitioners.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

By this petition the petitioners are claiming that they had been wrongly excluded from the seniority list of Assistants.

Learned counsel states that in this connection the petitioner No.1 had made various representations the latest one being representation dated 09.12.2016 (Annexure P-28) and further states that the claim of both the petitioners stands on similar footing and at this stage they would be satisfied if the respondent No.3 would consider this representation on behalf of both the petitioners (since common issue of law is involved) and decide the same by passing a speaking order thereon within any reasonable time.

I find this to be a fair submission. In the circumstances, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.3 to decide the said representation (Annexure P-28) by passing a speaking order thereon

order.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

May 08, 2017
joyti rani

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	-	Yes/No
Whether reportable	-	Yes/No