

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP- 6481-2017 (O&M)**

**DATE OF DECISION: 29.03.2017**

**MEHAR CHAND**

**... Petitioner**

***Versus***

**STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.**

**... Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J. VAZIFDAR, CHIEF JUSTICE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL**

Present: Mr. J.K. Khetarpal, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*

**S.J. VAZIFDAR, C.J. (ORAL)**

When the matter was taken up for hearing, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contended that the petitioner's tender is going to be rejected.

The petition does not state so. The petitioner states that he has been orally informed that his tender will be rejected. In the petition, there is no such averment. Nor are there any details as to who informed the petitioner that his tender will be rejected. The likely rejection is not even challenged in the petition.

In the event of the petitioner's tender being rejected for any reason, the petitioner is always at liberty to challenge the same.

The petition is accordingly disposed of with liberty as aforesaid.

**(S.J. VAZIFDAR)  
CHIEF JUSTICE**

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)  
JUDGE**

**29.03.2017**

*SwarnjitS*

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable

Yes /No  
Yes /No