

113

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.6385 of 2017

Date of Decision : 28.03.2017

Narender Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Ashok Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

The claim of the petitioner is that when he had to opt for a station during the transfer drive he could not opt for the most optimum station because the same was not reflected on the website due to some bug in the system of the respondents and in similar cases by order Annexure P-3 the respondents gave relief to similarly situated persons.

In my opinion, it would be appropriate if the petitioner makes a self-contained representation to the respondent No.1 who would decide the same by passing a speaking order thereon within any reasonable time.

In the circumstances, the petition stands disposed of with a direction that in case any such representation is moved within one week from today the same be decided in the manner aforesaid by the respondent No.1 within 2 months thereafter.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Misc.
Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

March 28, 2017
ashish

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	-	Yes/No
Whether reportable	-	Yes/No