

**108 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.6380 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : March 28, 2017**

Ajit Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

UT Administration and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Ms. Dhivya Jerath, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to the earlier writ petition No. 17475 of 2014, pension of the petitioner has been released on 14.07.2015 but no other retiral benefits have been paid. The petitioner has filed representations dated 02.12.2016 and 03.03.2016 (Annexure P-5 colly).

The short prayer at this stage is that the respondents may be directed to decide the representations of the petitioner dated 02.12.2016 and 03.03.2016 (Annexure P-5 colly) in a time bound manner by passing a speaking order.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the respondents, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the representations of the petitioner dated 02.12.2016 and 03.03.2016 (Annexure P-5 colly) by passing a speaking order within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

March 28, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No