

**104 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.6347 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : March 28, 2017**

Thangvel

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Bhim Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has retired from service on 31.05.2016. Only the amount of gratuity and part of leave encashment has been released to him. The remaining retiral benefits have not been released to him. The petitioner has already issued a legal notice dated 14.02.2017 (Annexure P-7).

The short prayer of the petitioner at this stage is that the respondents may be directed to decide the legal notice dated 14.02.2017 (Annexure P-7) in a time bound manner by passing a speaking order.

The short prayer at this stage is that the respondents may be directed to decide the legal notice dated 14.02.2017 (Annexure P-7) in a time bound manner by passing a speaking order.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the respondents, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to

respondent No.3 to decide the legal notice dated 14.02.2017 (Annexure P-7) by passing a speaking order within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

March 28, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No