

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.6321 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 17.07.2017

Parkash Kaur

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Anish Batra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harsimran Singh Sethi, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J

The petitioner, who is working as a Sewing and Cutting Training Instructor under the Department of Technical Education and Industrial Training, State of Punjab, has filed the instant petition being aggrieved of the action of the respondent authorities in imposing upon her the New Contributory Pension Scheme. Case of the petitioner is that she is entitled to be allotted a G.P.F account number under the Regular Pension Scheme and paid retiral benefits as per provisions of Punjab Civil Services Rules and upon counting of the entire service.

Reliance has been placed upon a Division Bench judgement rendered by this Court in ***Harbans Lal Vs. State of Punjab (CWP No.2371 of 2010)*** decided on 31.8.2010 (Annexure P-5).

Learned State counsel during the course of hearing today has furnished for perusal of this Court copy of office order dated 14.7.2017 of the Director, Technical Education and Industrial Training, Punjab and in terms of which it has been conceded that the case of the petitioner is similar to the case of ***Harbans Lal*** (supra) and a decision has been taken to allow

benefit to the petitioner under the Old Pension Scheme and to allot a G.P.F number. In the order dated 14.7.2017 a G.P.F number stands duly allotted i.e. PB-IT-School-Tech-455.

A copy of the order has even been furnished to learned counsel for the petitioner.

In view of the above, the instant petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

17.07.2017

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No