

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 6276 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 27.3.2017

Ranbir Singh Petitioner (s)
Versus
State of Haryana and others Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. S.K. Biriwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

:-

:-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the amount due was recovered from the payment of gratuity. Now, it has again been recovered from the payment of leave encashment, vide order dated 19.3.2014 (Annexure-P-6). The petitioner has already issued a legal notice dated 7.11.2016 (Annexure-P-8) upon respondents, but to no avail. The short prayer is for directing respondents to decide the said legal notice within a time bound manner by passing a speaking order.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to opposite party, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 3 to consider and decide the legal notice of the petitioner dated 7.11.2016 (Annexure-P-8) within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of his order.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

27.3.2017

sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes
Whether Reportable : No