

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-6261-2017 (O&M)

DATE OF DECISION: 24.03.2017

TARSEM CHAND

... Appellant

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J. VAZIFDAR, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL**

Present: Mr. Fariad Singh Virk, Advocate
for the appellant.

S.J. VAZIFDAR, C.J. (ORAL)

It is not possible, at this stage, to state that the respondents have no claim for adjustment of the security amount already deposited. Less than a week remains for the period of the licence. It is not open to the Court to compel the respondents to adjust the security deposit against the amounts payable for operating the license till 31.03.2017. It is stated that this has been done in respect of other districts. However, without the benefit of the assistance of the other side, it is difficult to come to any final conclusion in this regard.

2. The petitioner's interests are not affected. The petitioner can always deposit the extra security amount as demanded in Annexure P-7, operate the license and after 01.04.2017, claim the refund of security, in accordance with the Policy.

3. The petition is disposed of accordingly.

**(S.J. VAZIFDAR)
CHIEF JUSTICE**

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

24.03.2017

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes /No
Yes /No