

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.6225 of 2017
Date of decision: 03.05.2017**

Tuhi Ram & ors.

.... Petitioners

VS.

State of Haryana & ors.

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Aman Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. R.T.Redhu, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Prateek Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

Rajiv Narain Raina, J.(Oral)

Learned State counsel has produced order dated 02.05.2017. It is recorded in the communication addressed to the Advocate General, Haryana, Chandigarh that vide order dated 01.05.2017 out of the 18 demands, demand Nos.2, 6, 7, 9, 11 and 12 have been referred to the Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Ambala for adjudication. However, vide separate order dated 02.07.2016 the appropriate government has rejected the remaining demands. Photocopy of the letter is taken on record as Mark 'A'.

Accordingly, the writ petition is rendered infructuous as far as demands which have been conceded for reference are concerned. As far as rejection order is concerned, if the workmen feel aggrieved, they would be at liberty to take recourse to law.

The present petition stands disposed of as infructuous.

03.05.2017
sonia

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

- 1. Whether speaking/non-speaking?
- 2. Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No