

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

114

**Civil Writ Petition No.6204 of 2017
Date of Decision: September 04, 2017**

Ajay Kumar and another ...Petitioners

versus

Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh and others
...Respondents

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL***

Present: Mr. Satinder Kumar Rana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioners have approached this Court under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking quashing of order dated 09.12.2016 passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh (in short, 'the Tribunal') and also to set aside the final selection list (Annexure P-2), vide which 157 'Multi Task Staff' were selected.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners, at the outset, submitted that the Tribunal, while deciding OA No.235/CH/2013, on 31.01.2017, in respect of similarly situated employees, has granted necessary relief to the applicants therein. Accordingly, learned counsel prayed that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition at this stage, with liberty to the petitioners to approach the Tribunal by filing a review application in accordance with law.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn. It shall, however, be open to the petitioners to take recourse to the remedies as may be available to them, in accordance with law.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

September 04, 2017
sonia gugnani

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No