

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(124)

CWP-619-2017

Decided on: January 17, 2017.

Preet Pal Yadav

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Inder Pal Goyat, Advocate, for the petitioner.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

The petitioner has filed the present petition for issuance of a direction to respondent No.3 to change the existing route of the petitioner i.e. Sikandarpur Border to IMT Manesar via Gurgaon Bus Stand, on account of the route granted to the petitioner having rendered economically unviable.

The petitioner had made a request to the District Transport Officer, Gurgaon vide Annexure P-2 on 16.09.2011. The grievance of the petitioner is that meeting was fixed by the Transport Commissioner on few occasions but no decision has been taken on the claim of the petitioner.

Notice to Advocate General, Haryana.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Vishal Kashyap, AAG, Haryana, present in the Court, accepts notice.

Counsel for the petitioner is directed to hand over two sets of paper book to the State counsel.

Without expression of any opinion on merits of the case, this petition is disposed of with a direction that the claim of the petitioner which

is pending for the last few years, will be taken into consideration by the Committee which is headed by the Transport Commissioner within a period of three months after the receipt of certified copy of this order by fixing a specific date for the said purpose.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

January 17, 2017
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No