

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.6049 of 2017

Date of Decision:-23.03.2017.

Shiv Prashad

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

In the instant writ petition, the petitioner has questioned the various orders passed in disciplinary proceedings of the disciplinary authority, appellate authority and revisional authority. Vide order dated 20.02.2008, 02.07.2008, 06.11.2008 and 12.06.2009. The petitioner should have approached this Court questioning the validity of the order dated 12.06.2009 within a reasonable period of 3 years. Present petition has been filed after lapse of nearly 8 years. There is no explanation for delay and laches. Thus, there is a delay and laches on the part of the petitioner. Therefore, the petition is not maintainable.

Accordingly, petition stands dismissed on the ground of delay and laches.

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

March 23, 2017.

sandeep sethi

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.