

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

FAO No. 2475 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 18.09.2017.

Geja Singh and another versus Pardeep Singh

...Appellants

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA.

Present : Mr. Achin Gupta, Advocate for the appellants.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

The affidavit of Lovekesh Gupta son of Pawan Kumar (appellant No.2) dated 06.05.2017 has been filed in Court. The same is retained on record.

Appellant No.2-owner of the offending vehicle states in his affidavit that the matter has been compromised between the parties and he may be allowed to withdraw the present appeal. Learned counsel for the appellants submits that appellant No.1-driver of the vehicle also does not want to pursue the appeal.

Leave is granted.

The appeal is dismissed as withdrawn. The amount of Rs. 25,000/-filed for its entertainment is ordered to be returned after following the due procedure, since this court has not adjudicated the dispute.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

September 18, 2017

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO

Whether reportable : YES/NO