

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH.***

***CWP No.5792 of 2017***

Date of Decision: 23.10.2017

Manpreet Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

***BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY***

Present:- Ms. Dhivya Jerath, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*\*

**DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)**

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has preferred a representation to the respondent authorities but no action has been taken thereupon.

As per case of the petitioner, he has secured 28 marks but his name does not figure in the merit list, whereas, other similarly situated persons, who were having 28 marks, have been considered for appointment.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, in case, the directions are issued by this Court to consider the representation dated 05.11.2016 and also to consider his case at par with other similarly situated persons.

Keeping in view the limited prayer of learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider the representation dated 05.11.2016 (Annexure P-5) and take necessary action by passing a detailed and well reasoned order in

accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

In case, the petitioner is found to be entitled for the relief sought for in the representation, the same be granted to him and in case, any adverse order is to be passed, the petitioner is at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

23.10.2017  
gurpreet

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)**  
**JUDGE**

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable        | Yes/No |