

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CM-12114-15-2017 in/&
CWP-5694-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: September 01, 2017

Jagdeep Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Ms. Rupinder K. Thind, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Varun Issar, Advocate for the respondents.

Rajan Gupta, J.(oral)

CM-12114-2017:

This is an application for placing on record reply on behalf of respondents No.1 & 2. Application is allowed as prayed for. Reply is taken on record. Registry to page mark the paper book accordingly.

CWP-5694-2017:

Learned State counsel has referred to para 8 of the reply. Same reads as under:-

“8. That it is pertinent to mention here that the petitioner has stated in the petition that he has replied to the letter dated 30.5.2016 vide letter dated 4.10.2016. However, as per the office records the petitioner has never replied to the letter dated 30.6.2016 as has been stated in the petition. The passport of the petitioner has not been impounded till date. Since the application has already been closed on 8.6.2016, the petitioner is advised to apply again by enclosing the copy of the court judgment or cancellation report of the case approved by the concerned court failing which the passport will be impounded as informed earlier vide letter dated 30.5.2016.”

In view of above, counsel for the petitioner submits that he be allowed to withdraw the present petition with liberty to apply afresh.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as aforesaid.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

September 01, 2017
'Rajpal'

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No