

**110 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.5683 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : March 20, 2017**

Urmila Devi and others Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Saurabh Arora, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioners claim that the retiral benefits were released to petitioner No.1 somewhere in 2009 and to the remaining petitioners on the date of retirement mentioned in Annexure P-1.

Learned counsel for the petitioners seeks to withdraw the present petition with liberty to approach the Department for grant of interest on the delayed payment.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

March 20, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned No

Whether Reportable: No