

**104 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.5602 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : March 20, 2017**

Jasvir Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Baltej Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the husband of the petitioner retired as Naib Tehsildar on 31.10.2015 and expired on 21.01.2017 but till date, except the amount of GPF, nothing has been paid to the petitioner. No inquiry or show cause notice is pending against the husband of the petitioner.

It is also contended that the husband of the petitioner had also made representation dated 09.01.2017 (Annexure P-3). The short prayer of the petitioner is that respondents may be directed to consider the representation dated 09.01.2017 (Annexure P-3) and pass an appropriate order in a time bound manner.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the respondents, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the representation dated 09.01.2017

(Annexure P-3) and if there is no legal impediment in releasing the

remaining retiral benefits, the same be released without any further delay along with statutory interest as per Government instructions. The needful be done within three weeks from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

March 20, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No