

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 5554 of 2017

Date of decision: 17.03.2017

Hem Raj and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Punjab State Power Corporation Limited (PSPCL) and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Ms. Sonia G. Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

The prayer in the present petition is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant 23 years' promotional increment benefit in view of Circular no.17/90 dated 23.04.1990 and Circular no.392 dated 28.07.2000.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the case of the petitioners is squarely covered by decision of this Court rendered in ***CWP No.16737 of 2011*** titled as ***Om Parkash Dua vs Punjab State Electricity Board and others*** decided on 14.12.2015. She further submits that the petitioners served a legal notice dated 09.01.2017 (Annexure P-5) upon the respondents but the same has not been considered in spite of the fact that the case of the petitioners is squarely covered by ***Om Parkash Dua's*** case (supra). She further submits that the petitioners would be satisfied, in case, the directions are issued by this Court to consider the legal notice dated 09.01.2017 (Annexure P-5) served upon the respondents.

Keeping in view the limited prayer of learned counsel for the petitioners and without issuing notice to the other party, the present petition

is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners in view of ***Om Parkash Dua's*** case (supra) and pass necessary order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, the petitioners are found to be entitled for the relief sought for in the legal notice and their case is covered by ***Om Parkash Dua's*** case (supra), the same be granted within a period of two months thereafter and in case, the petitioners are still aggrieved in any manner, they are at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

17.03.2017

Satyawan

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes.

Whether reportable

No.