

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 5497 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 17.3.2017

Tarsem Lal and others

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate, for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

:-

:-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the payment of gratuity was paid to the petitioners in the year 2016, as per Annexure-P-1. However, these were paid with delay and interest on the same has not been paid. The petitioners have already served a legal notice dated 2.12.2016 (Annexure-P-3) upon respondents, but to no avail. The short prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioners at this stage is that respondents may be directed to decide the legal notice of the petitioners dated 2.12.2016 (Annexure-P-3) in a time bound manner.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the opposite party, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to consider and decide the legal notice of the petitioners dated 2.12.2016 (Annexure-P-3), within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

17.3.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes
Whether Reportable : No