

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.5450 of 2017  
Date of decision: 30.03.2017

Dinkar Dogra

....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN**

Present: - Mr. Anoop Verma, Advocate,  
for Ms. Jigyasa Tanwar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

**AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)**

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari* for quashing of 2<sup>nd</sup> proviso to Section 18 of the Securitization and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002 for being unreasonable, unjust, ambiguous, against the principles of natural justice and violative of Article 14 of the Constitution of India.

2. After arguing for some time, when the Bench was not agreeing, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that he may be allowed to withdraw this petition.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn.

**(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)  
JUDGE**

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)  
JUDGE**

**March 30, 2017  
R.S.**

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether Reportable

Yes/No  
Yes/No