

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 5378 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : March 15, 2017

Renu Bala

..... Petitioner

v.

State of Haryana and others,

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Dalbir Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

The petitioner claims that the petitioner had applied for the post of PGT Psychology (Cat. No.6). She was declared successful in the written test and was called for scrutiny on 14.2.2017. She appeared for scrutiny but has not been called for the final interview despite the fact that another candidate who had same number of marks as her i.e 92 was called for interview. In this connection, the petitioner is stated to have made a representation, Annexure P-8, to respondent No.3. Counsel for the petitioner states that even though interview is fixed for today, yet he would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.3 to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner in a time bound manner, and pass a speaking order thereon so that in case she is found entitled for interview she may be interviewed.

I find this to be a fair request. Consequently, this writ petition

is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to decide the representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-8) by passing a speaking order thereon within two weeks from the receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case, the petitioner is found entitled for the interview, arrangements be made to interview her.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending C.Ms, if any, also stand disposed of.

March 15, 2017
`kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No