

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 5377 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 17.03.2017

Satish Kumar

... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Kuldip Singh Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

This petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for quashing the chargesheet dated 08.03.2016 (Annexure-P4) issued to the petitioner.

The petitioner had joined the services with the respondent-Department (Punjab Roadways) in the year 1972 and retired on 31.03.2012. Chargesheet dated 08.03.2016 (Annexure-P4) had been issued to the petitioner regarding some embezzlement and taking disciplinary action against him and keeping in view that some of the acts alleged pertains to the year 2012 in this case. Enquiry officer has been appointed, therefore, it cannot be said that the charge-sheet is misuse of the process of Court.

Therefore, at this stage, this Court does not find any reason to interfere in the enquiry proceedings and quash the charge-sheet dated 08.03.2016 (Annexure-P4).

In view of the above, the writ petition is accordingly dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

17.03.2017

kv

Whether speaking/non-speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No