

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 5329 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 16.03.2017

Ved Parkash

... Petitioner

versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Ramesh Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Through this writ petition, petitioner is claiming interest on the arrears of delayed payment of pension and pensionary benefits. It comes out that the petitioner has previously filed **CWP No. 18369 of 2011, Vek Parkash Vs. Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited, Panchkula and others**, decided on 04.05.2016 (Annexure-P1) vide which this Court order the release of all retiral dues payable to the petitioner along with simple interest at the rate of 8% per annum. In the **LPA No.1445 of 2016, Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited, Panchkula and others Vs. Ved Parkash**, decided on 14.09.2016 (Annexure-P2), Division Bench of this court modified the order of Single Bench and held that the appellant shall be entitled to effect

recovery pursuant to the order dated 21.02.2007 mentioned at Sr. Nos. 8, 9 & 10 in the chart reproduced by the learned Single Judge. However, the order of payment of interest on the arrears of delayed payment of pension and pensionary benefits was not modified

It being so, fresh writ petition is not maintainable to recover the interest. He is at liberty to move the contempt petition before the appropriate Court.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

16.03.2017

kv

Whether speaking/non-speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No