

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.5314 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 27th March, 2017**

Pinky Kumari

..... Petitioner

Versus

Central Bank of India and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present : Mrs. Kiran Bala Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

Without commenting on the issue of territorial jurisdiction of this Court over the subject matter involving transfer from Guwahati to Ludhiana, on the assumption that it is possessed on the cause or causes of action arising in Ludhiana then in order to save time of any of the Courts where those causes may lie wholly or in part, on the request of Mrs Kiran Bala Jain especially in the light of statutory appeal pending against the removal order for an unreasonable time, a direction is issue to the 2nd respondent to decide the statutory appeal against the order of removal of the petitioner (Annex P-7) by passing an order within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order either from Court or by the petitioner, whichever is earlier.

Needless to say that the petitioner would be heard effectively on the grounds of appeal personally by the appellate authority and findings will be returned on the each of the grounds taken in the memorandum of

appeal by passing a speaking order in accordance with law. The result be conveyed to the petitioner without delay.

With these observations and directions the petition is disposed of.

27th March, 2017

mamta

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No