

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.5125 of 2017
Date of decision:15.03.2017**

Ramesh Chand

... Petitioner

Vs.

Registrar, Cooperative Societies, and others

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. S.S.Dalal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL J. (Oral)

Concededly, the petitioner has an alternative remedy of filing an election petition. The apprehension of Mr. S.S.Dalal, learned counsel for the petitioner is that if the petitioner files an election petition, the presiding officer will not decide the same in accordance with the statutory provisions of Rule 86 of Haryana Cooperative Societies Rules, 1989 (hereinafter referred to as “1989 Rules”).

In case, the petitioner chooses to file an election petition, the authority below shall endeavour to decide the same in accordance with the provisions of Rule 86 of Rules, 1989.

In view of the aforementioned, the writ petition stands disposed of.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

March 15, 2017
savita

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No