

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 5036 of 2017

Date of decision : September 21, 2017

Dharminder Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Assistant Collector Ist Grade Nabha and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present:-

Mr. Gurnam Singh , Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vijay Sharma, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 3 and 7.

Mr. Amrinder Partap Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

Mr. Sukhdev Singh Nokwal, Advocate
for respondent No.10.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J (oral).

Mr. Gurnam Singh, Advocate has filed his Power of Attorney on behalf of the petitioner bearing no objection from the earlier counsel. The Power of Attorney is taken on record. He is permitted to pursue the case henceforth.

Reply on behalf of respondent No.1 is filed in Court. The same is taken on record.

This petition is filed against the order of the Assistant Collector, Nabha dated 25.5.2015 against partition of the Sanad taksim alleging that the petitioners were proceeded against ex-parte.

Learned counsel for the respondents have raised a preliminary objection that the remedy lies with the petitioner to file revision

Financial Commissioner.

In view thereof the present petition is disposed of relegating the petitioner to avail his remedy to file revision before the Financial Commissioner at the first instance.

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

September 21, 2017
archana

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No