

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

106

CWP No.5002 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 14.03.2017

^P
Sunil Kumar Kundu

..... Petitioner

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Ramesh Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed for directing the respondent No.2 to decide the appeal dated 05.07.2016 (Annexure P-5) within any time frame and further directing the respondents that during the pendency of appeal, the recovery as demanded vide letter dated 06.06.2016 (Annexure P-4) may not be effected from the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the order of recovery against the petitioner is being implemented despite the fact that the statutory appeal before the respondent No.2 has not been decided.

In the circumstances, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the said appeal in accordance with law within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order in case the aforesaid assertion is correct.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

14.03.2017
pooja sharma-I

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No