

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

227

FAO No.1607 of 2016

Date of Decision: March 28th, 2017

Ms. Geetika Pandey

...Appellant

Versus

Gyanendra Pandey

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M. JEYAPPAUL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH**

Present: Mr. S.S. Brar, Advocate
for Mr. Sumit Kumar, Advocate
for the appellant.

M. JEYAPPAUL, J. (ORAL)

Proxy counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment.

We went through the orders passed by us on 21.07.2016 and 16.11.2016. Appellant has not cared to furnish the correct address not once but twice and as a result of which, fresh notice could not be issued. It appears that the appellant is not interested to prosecute the appeal.

Appeal stands dismissed in default.

**(M. JEYAPPAUL)
JUDGE**

March 28th, 2017
Puneet

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No