

**113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.4953 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : March 10, 2017**

Nath Ram

..... Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Civil Supply Corporation Limited and another

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Saravpreet Gurna, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that after the retirement of the petitioner on 30.06.2015, his retiral dues have not been released to him. The petitioner has already issued legal notice dated 15.11.2016 (Annexure P-1) to the respondents.

The short prayer of the petitioner at this stage is that the respondents may be directed to decide his legal notice dated 15.11.2016 (Annexure P-1). It is also contended that no charge-sheet or inquiry is pending against him.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the respondents, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to decide the legal notice dated 15.11.2016 (Annexure P-1) of the petitioner and if there is no legal impediment in releasing the retiral

benefits along with interest as per Government instructions, the same be released forthwith to the petitioner, otherwise pass a speaking order, denying the retiral benefits.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

March 10, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No