

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.4917-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 10.03.2017

Brij Bhushan

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Sumit Bajaj, Advocate for the petitioner

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Petitioner, who was working as an Assistant with HARCO FED department superannuated on 31.3.2016. Petitioner has challenged the charge sheet dated 31.3.2016 on various grounds. However, at the preliminary hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that at this stage, he does not press the quashing of the charge sheet and stated that after the issuance of charge sheet, no Inquiry Officer has been appointed so far even though a period of about one year has elapsed., The short prayer is that inquiry should be concluded in a time bound manner.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the respondents, the petition is disposed of with directions to the respondents to complete the inquiry within a period of six months from the date of receipt of

a certified copy of this order. In case the department finds any difficulty, the respondents are always at liberty to seek extension of time specifying the grounds for same.

10.03.2017

gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes
No