

**107 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.4824 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : April 21, 2017

Surinder Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. M.K. Dogra, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Petitioner claims that he has been charge-sheeted on false and frivolous allegations on the basis of anonymous and unsigned complaint.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he is in possession of some documents of Technical Committee, which shows that the petitioner is innocent. However, it is the defence of the petitioner.

Let the petitioner produce all the necessary documents and plead all the necessary facts before the inquiry officer.

This Court at this stage cannot consider the documents to hold that defence of the petitioner is proved. Therefore, at this stage, there is no ground to interfere in the charge-sheet issued to the petitioner. However, keeping in view the fact that the petitioner had retired from service three years back, the respondents are directed to conclude the inquiry within six months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

As such, the present petition is disposed of.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

April 21, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking /reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable:

No