Sanjiv Kumar Sharma
2017.03.08 16:28
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 4715 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 8.3.2017

Naresh Sehgal ..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others ..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. R.K. Arora, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-.- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The prayer of the petitioner is for grant of fresh option in view of changed circumstances and re-fixation of his pay in terms of order dated 12.2.2013 (Annexure-P-6). The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that he has already made a representation dated 13.8.2013 (Annexure-P-15), followed by a legal notice dated 4.9.2016 (Annexure-P-18). The short prayer pressed by the learned counsel for the petitioner at this stage is that respondent may be directed to decide the legal notice dated 4.9.2016 (Annexure-P-18) in time bound manner by passing a speaking order.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to opposite party, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to consider and decide the legal notice dated 4.9.2016 (Annexure-P-18) within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order by passing a speaking order.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

8.3.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No