

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 4671 of 2017
Date of Decision: 07.03.2017**

Bharti

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & Another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Arun Jindal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking of a writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to decide the representation/legal notice dated 23.01.2017.

It is contended that the petitioner herein applied to be appointed as an Anganwari Worker and submitted the necessary documents in this regard. An interview was held on 15.03.2016 and the petitioner secured the highest marks but was not offered appointment. A representation/legal notice has already been submitted to the respondents which is pending consideration. At the present moment, counsel for the petitioner limits his prayer to the expeditious disposal of the said representation/legal notice in accordance with law.

In view of the limited prayer made, without commenting on the merits of the case, the respondents are directed to decide the representation/legal notice dated 23.01.2017 within a period of two months

from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order by passing a speaking order. In case, the petitioner is found entitled to the claim as set up, the necessary relief be granted to her within a period of two weeks thereafter.

Writ petition stands disposed of.

March 07, 2017
Ansari

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No