

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

FAO No.1248 of 2016(O&M)

Date of Decision:-14.09.2017

Lumax Automotive System Ltd. & Ors.

.....Appellants.

Versus

Electronica Finance Ltd.

.....Respondent.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present:- None for the non applicants/appellants.

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, Advocate for applicant/respondent no.1.

JASWANT SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Appellants had filed present appeal directed against the order dated 16.12.2015 passed by the learned Additional District Judge, Gurgaon whereby a receiver had been appointed to seize possession and maintain the Generator Set due to non payment of the installments of loan availed by the appellant from the applicant-respondent no.1.

In the application under Section 9 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 filed by respondent no.1 the said arrangement was subject to invoking the arbitration proceedings within three months of the date of order.

At the time of hearing **CM No.12905-CII of 2017** has been filed by applicant/respondent no.1 (Finance Company) stating that the present appeal has become infructuous as a final Award dated 31.5.2017

has come about in favour of applicant-Finance Company.

In view of the statement of counsel for applicant/respondent
no.1-Finance Company, present appeal has become infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE

September 14, 2017
Vinay

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>